

Minutes of the meeting of the
Tandridge LOCAL COMMITTEE
 held at 10.15 am on 21 June 2019
 at Tandridge District Council offices, Station Road East, Oxted, RH8 0BT.

These minutes are subject to confirmation by the Committee at its next meeting.

Surrey County Council Members:

- * Mr Cameron McIntosh (Chairman)
- * Mrs Rose Thorn (Vice-Chairman)
- * Mr Chris Botten
- * Mr David Lee
- * Mrs Becky Rush
- * Mrs Lesley Steeds

Borough / District Members:

- * District Councillor Michael Cooper
- * District Councillor Tony Elias
- * District Councillor Harry Fitzgerald
- * District Councillor Chris Langton
- * District Councillor Simon Morrow
- * District Councillor Sir Nicholas White

* In attendance

**11/19 APPOINTMENT OF DISTRICT COUNCIL SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS
 [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION] [Item 1]**

Verbal correction to the agenda, the District Council nominated 6 substitutes not 9.

A vote was taken.

For: 4
 Against: 6

The Chairman did not vote.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge) did not agree to co-opt the substitutes for District Council members for the municipal year 2019/20 as listed in the agenda.

Reason for decision:

The above decision was made in accordance with Standing Order 40(f) that requires the Committee, at its first meeting in the municipal year, to agree whether it wishes District Council members to be permitted to have substitutes.

ITEM 2

12/19 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 2]

Apologies received from District Councillor Chris Langton.

13/19 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

None declared.

14/19 PETITIONS [Item 4]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager, Duncan Knox, Road Safety and Active Travel Manager.

The lead petitioner Mr Ian Wates, Chairman of Burstow Parish Council presented the petition. He felt that the response from the Engineer did not offer positive action to resolve the matter and did not recognise the near misses or the difficulties when vehicles are crossing from Plough Road to Wheelers Lane or vice versa. He asked the Committee to consider positive action to improve the traffic movement at the dangerous junction and he feels that this option is straightforward and simple. As the site is rural they do not wish for traffic lights to be installed and the traffic table and 20mph zone is not recognised by residents or enforceable.

Public Statement, Comment: A resident on Wheelers lane, supported the idea of the one way travel as difficult for him exiting his property. He felt that £100,000 is extremely costly to change to a one way travel, he asked if the Council had money for a survey.

Member discussion – key points:

- 1) The Divisional Member fully supports the petition, as it is dangerous when turning into and out of Wheelers Lane, however she also understood the position of Highways.
- 2) Members requested that Officers work with District Councillors and the police to take a more detailed look at this junction and Redehall Road.
- 3) Members asked if improvements to this road could be included in a CIL bid. The Area Highways advised that from experience a one way system would increase traffic speeds and can cause other issues. Officers felt this solution would not be the most appropriate for this site. There is no revenue funding available this financial year, for a feasibility study to be carried out to establish costs and designs to put forward a CIL bid for the construction. The SCC process for CIL bids has recently changed, and guidance is being put together and will be issued shortly. Until full guidance is available she is unable to say if a scheme could be funded through CIL funding.
- 4) It was felt it was not a speeding matter the issues are the exit and entrance to the junction.
- 5) Members asked how many accidents had been recorded at the site. Highway Officers responded that they work with the Road Safety Team and Officers meet twice a year to discuss accident blackspots in

Tandridge and look at ways to reduced accidents at the sites. Officers note the local support at this site. Officers are aware of the injury to a child a few years ago, and proposals were designed and approved by the Local Committee in 2017. The Road Safety Officer raised concern that with a one way system in place there would be a steady stream of traffic and this would make it difficult to cross the road. He confirmed there is a CIL bid being prepared, however it has not been put forward yet. Accident data for Wheelers lane was not available at the meeting however data from all accidents that are reported anywhere in the country can be viewed at www.crashmap.co.uk . District Councillor Cooper expressed his disappointment that the figures were not available for the meeting.

- 6) Mr Chris Botten is the Chairman of Governors at Burstow School. He agreed that it is a significant problem that needs to be resolved. A concern is outside the school where driver behaviour is an issue. Mr Botten suggested blocking the access to Wheelers Lane at the eastern end at Redehall Road, this could be cut off by bollards. If the car park secures planning permission on Redehall road, the school could assist by asking parents to park in new car park and walk to school safely, this would ensure the safety of the children and would provide an alternative to the one way proposal. Mrs Lesley Steeds proposed an additional recommendation that 'Highways Officers meet with the Parish Council, local councillors and the school to discuss options to move this matter forward and find a solution'. This was seconded by the Chairman and agreed by the Committee.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

- i) NOTED the Officer response.
- ii) **AGREED that Officers meet with the Parish Council, Local Councillors and the school to discuss options to move this matter forward and find a solution.**

15/19 FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 5]

Whyteleafe Village Council, submitted a written question in relation to the gully clearing on Whyteleafe Roundabout (A22, Godstone Road). The response is provided in the supplementary papers.

Village Councillor John Cheetham thanked officers and the council for their response and work in Whyteleafe. He asked a supplementary question asking if Officers could provide detail on what needs to be carried out at the roundabout with costings, in order to resolve this matter. The Village Council have CIL money available, which they would be willing to use to alleviate the flooding on the roundabout. The Village Council would like to work together with the District Council and SCC to resolve this issue.

ITEM 2

The Divisional Member for Caterham Valley, supported the points raised and asked for clarification on how he could assist, and which of his highway member funding he could use to support investigations or works.

The Area Highways Manager advised that members' capital funding cannot be used for investigation alone but it can be used as be part of the solution, for example repairing the pipes or a new soakaway. The Village Council could fund the investigation works. Once this has been carried out costings can be established. The Area Highways Manager advised officers would be in contact with the Village Council to discuss further, as traffic management costs would need to be included during the investigation.

16/19 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 6]

The minutes from the previous meeting on the 1 March 2019 were agreed as a true record and signed by the Chairman.

17/19 MEMBERS QUESTIONS [Item 7]

District Councillor Michael Cooper submitted a question regarding an issue of public safety concern involving obstruction of the highway in Caterham. The response is available in the supplementary papers.

District Councillor Cooper advised that he had meet with Officers and he understood that there is a multi-agency meeting next week which is the best forum to discuss the matter.

The Area Highway Manager (SCC) and the Strategic Director of Place (Tandridge District Council) advised they would keep members updated on the matter.

18/19 A25 GODSTONE ROAD, BLETCHINGLEY - SPEED LIMIT REVIEW CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL COMMITTEE (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUE OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 8]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager and Duncan Knox, Road Safety and Active Travel Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: The Chairman invited public questions/statements after the members' discussion.

The Chairman welcomed Mr Matt Furniss, SCC Cabinet Member for Highways to the Tandridge Local Committee, and explained that at previous meetings where this item has been for decision, the Committee had disagreed with the Officers recommendation and therefore in accordance with Surrey County Councils approved 'Setting Local Speed Limits' (2014) policy, the issue must now be referred to the Cabinet Member with responsibility for road safety.

The Chairman confirmed he had received a letter from Godstone Parish Council on 18 June, which has been circulated to Members and the Cabinet Member.

The Chairman invited the county councillor for the Godstone division to speak first, and then invited Mr Furniss to speak. The Cabinet Member for Highways advised he had asked Highway officers to prepare an open ended draft report for future decision by the Cabinet Member, and this draft paper was included in the agenda for this committee meeting. . He had reviewed the committee's discussion from the previous meeting in March, and was aware of the strength of feeling on this contentious issue. He invited the committee to comment on why they felt this proposal should be a special case which rejects the Officer and Police recommendation that it should be 40mph

Members Discussion- Key Points:

1. The Divisional Member stated that the speed limit should stay at 30mph to guarantee the safety of residents when exiting their properties, crossing the road, accessing the cemetery and travelling to school. It is what the whole of the village of Bletchingley want and the residents need the stability of knowing the speed limit will remain the same. Mrs Rose Thorn offered her member highway funding, and is willing to match fund with the Parish Council if any further measures, such as further signage, could be installed to enhance the 30mph speed limit.
2. Members suggested it was too soon to move Step 8 of the speed limit policy where the matter is referred to the Cabinet Member for decision, given that the additional supporting measures agreed in 2011 have not been fully implemented. Previous reports have proposed different supporting measures. This included an enhanced gateway, which was agreed in 2011, but never implemented. This is not the current gateway which was installed by the Parish Council. It remained on the committee's to do list for several years until in 2013 it was argued that the width was too narrow so it was not installed. At this point another measure was recommended, which was a central crossing island.
3. Another proposal was to move the Vehicle Activated Sign, which has now been absent from its location outside the cemetery for a number of months and this has not made any difference to the average speeds on this road. Given it has not made any difference to the average speeds, why not move it to a new location within the 400m section of the speed limit review where it might make more of a difference.
4. Members expressed concern that officers are stating that the speeds are too high for a speed limit change to be effective through signs alone. However this is because no additional supporting engineering measures have been installed, apart from the 'dragons teeth' road markings which have since faded.
5. The assumption made in the officer's report at previous meetings is that changing the speed limit will not change drivers' behaviour, but locally residents disagree and feel that average speeds will increase as drivers start to go faster. Members noted that the county council's own speed limit policy guards against this risk, as set out in step 3 of the policy. The evidence from when the speed limit was reduced from 50mph to 30mph in 2011, shows that speeds reduced dramatically.

ITEM 2

6. Changing the speed limit to 40 mph is not in line with the policy as there would be five different speed limits in a one mile section between Godstone and Bletchingley. Step one of the policy guards against this and states the stretch of road should be over 600m, the A25 review is on a section of road that is 400m, the part in Godstone is also 400m. Members questioned how these frequent changes in speed limit could be monitored and enforced.
7. Planning permission has recently been granted to extend the cemetery to allow access from the A25, the additional traffic this will generate means that's increasing the speed limit would not be a sensible option.
8. Members and local residents are not suggesting the road be narrowed but simple engineering measures such as enhanced gateways, rumble strips and central islands crossing points need to be considered to reduce speeds. These are already in place along other parts of the A25.
9. When Knights Way development was built the developer offered to pay for a central island, however this was refused. There is CIL funding available and it would be appropriate for an application to be made for CIL for this scheme. The question of funding should a separate issue and if engineering measures could be installed to remain at 30mph, then this should be considered.
10. Members were concerned at the amount of time and money being spent on this issues when there are sites which are of greater concern with regards to road safety. Accident data shows there was only one accident when the speed limit was at a 50mph and there has only been once since it was reduced to 30mph. It was suggested that the Local Committee should be spending its time and money focusing on bringing about improvements to known accident black spots.
11. A member raised concern that the side roads of Sunnybank and Chevington Villas are included within the 40mph speed review, as these are residential roads and completely unsuitable for a 40mph speed limit.
12. Members noted that there are future developments planned for the area that may impact on the current use of the road - SES water have plans to develop their site on North Park Lane with access onto the A25 and planning permission may be sought for 150 homes there. The limit there should be 30mph, not 40mph as proposed by officers. Godstone Parish Council also wish to develop a car park on the A25 and these factors must be taken into consideration.

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements:

Gill Black, District Councillor for Nutfield and Bletchingley, addressed the committee stating that a petition presented to the Committee in September 2018 had been signed by over 500 residents, and also had the support of both Godstone and Bletchingley Parish Council and local groups and their views should be listened to. The Vehicle

Activate Sign (VAS) close to the cemetery had not been reinstated after being removed for repair several months ago. This has made no difference to the speeds on the road at this point, therefore the VAS should be located in the section of road being reviewed. Residents feel this speed limit review needs to take consideration of the whole section of the road between the two villages of Godstone and Bletchingley as it has an impact on both rather than in isolation.

Janine Marks, resident in Sunnybank Villas, Bletchingley stated that both Sunnybank and Chevington Villas are part of the village, the gateway was moved by the Parish Council, at their expense, to incorporate the properties. Mrs Marks felt the speeds have reduced since the speed limit was reduced to 30mph, and with the A25 getting busier and cars more powerful the increase to 40mph would mean that drivers drive over 40mph, and an increase to the limit would see speeds return to the levels they were at before the limit was reduced. Permission has recently been granted for an extension to the cemetery with an access road on to the A25, therefore it makes no sense to increase the speed limit. It would make turning into and out of the entrance extremely dangerous.

Eddie Woods, resident at Knights Way, Godstone. Mr Woods wished to speak on behalf of residents at the Godstone end as should be taken into consideration in the review. Residents there are also concerned for speeding traffic and road safety. Waterhouse Lane on to the A25 is a difficult junction to exit because of the bend. This junction is well used by members of Divers Cove, which has seen a huge increase in membership in recent times – 130-140 people swim there on weekends - and also by agricultural vehicles and HGVs using the junction. There are two bus stops, but there is no pedestrian refuge for people to cross and the pavement runs out on the north side. Lighting needs to be improved for the safety of pedestrians crossing to the bus stop. Ramblers and horse riders sometimes use it too. Residents living in Knight's Way have difficulty exiting the development due to the high speeds on the A25 approaching Godstone, and there have been a number of near misses that residents have experienced. The 30mph speed limit should be extended as far as North Park Lane to give the traffic a chance to slow down on approach to Godstone. The parish council is looking to put in a car park, and so it's important that the speeds are reduced. The Cabinet Member needs to listen to the high number of local residents, including the parish council and Orpheus Centre.

Patrick Unwin – resident of Sunnybank Villas – concurred with the points made by residents and members, about the difficulties of exiting onto the A25 due to the high speeds and dangerous sightlines.

District Councillor Elias wished for it to be recorded that he disagreed that the policy was being correctly followed with regards to this speed limit review as the supporting measures have not been implemented.

The Cabinet Member thanked the members of the Committee and public for their comments. Having visited the site ahead of the meeting he was clear the

ITEM 2

current limit is not working, and would take the points made away and would ensure the committee is kept informed ahead of the final report in September.

The Chairman confirmed that the decision will now be taken by the Cabinet Member for Highways at a decision meeting in Tuesday 3 September at 4.30pm at County Hall Kingston. It would be a meeting in public and anyone is welcome to attend.

The Chairman thanked all members of the public for their comments and contributions on the item. Expressing his personal thanks to Highway Officers, Community Partnership Officers and to the Vice Chairman for their work on this item.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

- i) NOTED that a decision on the speed limit on the A25 at Bletchingley will be taken by the Cabinet Member for Highways at a meeting on 3 September 2019.
- ii) NOTED that at the meeting on 3 September 2019, the Cabinet Member for Highways will be asked to determine whether to progress the speed limit increase, endorse the decision of the local committee on 1 March 2019, (at paragraph 1.5 of this report) or alternatively keep the existing speed limits as they currently are, with a refresh of the road markings.
- iii) RESPONDED to the draft report to the Cabinet Member Document 1, outlining the information they wish the Cabinet Member to take into account when taking a decision on this matter.

19/19 UPDATE FROM CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS - MR MATT FURNISS (AGENDA ITEM ONLY - FOR INFORMATION) [Item 9]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager, Duncan Knox, Road Safety and Active Travel Manager.

Petitions, Public Questions/Statements: None

The new Cabinet Member for Highways updated the Committee on current developments in the service and the issues in the area.

Cabinet Member - Key points

1. The Council are continuing on the capital investment with an additional £12m funding allocated to the Winter Maintenance Fund in 2018/19 and an additional £8m this financial year. Last year, the council

repaired 60,000 potholes and carried out 50,000 preventative pothole across the county.

2. There will be a focus on pavements and providing alternatives to travelling by car, such as walking and cycling.
3. SCC are taking difficult decisions with limited funding to provide a good highway network.
4. Each committee receives £100,000 capital funding and then additional funding is based on population (number of divisional members in the District/Borough).
5. Would like to focus on maintenance rather than new schemes which will be an ongoing asset and cost.

Member discussion –key points

1. Prioritisation of pavements- Clarification was sought as to why some pavements have been selected to be repairs and others in the same village which are close to a school are not included and considered to be more of a priority. The Cabinet Member advised although not able to comment on the road, however it is often the case that the structure underneath is coming away and needs to be repaired. He is looking at communication on this matter to help residents and Members understand the prioritisation process. This was included in a recent Member's briefing with links to the policy.
2. Resurfacing scheme on Farleigh Road in Warlingham, work was due to be carried out but then delayed. The ward member asked if this would be completed soon. The Area Highways Manager advised that it had been re-prioritised, and directed Members to the Horizon programme list on Surrey's website which included the updated programme and the winter maintenance programme.
3. Pothole repair, concern regarding the quality of the work as the pot holes are being filled in but the wider area is not. The Cabinet Member advised that each pot hole is guaranteed for two years so the contractor would need to return to carry out a new repair at no cost to the tax payer. If the quality of work is of concern then it needs to be reported via the reporting portal online. Utilities Companies have 18 months to return to finalise their repair, once the 18 months has elapsed then the Council can take action. The Cabinet Member would like to provide the technology to make it easier for residents to report highway matters on smartphones.
4. Concern was raised that the Committee are not considering collision hotspots such as Pendell Road. The Chairman advised that that particular road is including on the decision tracker so will be discussed at that item. The Road Safety Manager confirmed that Road Safety funding has also been used at the site, the yellow backed signed were erected in April and additional works are in the process of being designed, which included high friction surfacing.

ITEM 2

The Chairman thanked the Cabinet Member for attending the meeting.

20/19 DECISION TRACKER (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 10]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager/ Sarah Woodworth, Partnership Committee Officer

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Chairman introduced the item explaining that this document monitors progress against the decisions that the Local Committee has made.

Member Discussion – Key Points

The following points were raised:

1. In reference to the public question on 1 March 2019, the Divisional member has been advised that a sufficient response had not been sent to the District Councillor.

The Area Highways Manager advised information had been sent regarding the gully cleaning. Last year gullies were cleaned annually, this year following a review gullies which contain high levels of silt are being cleaned every 6 months and those with low levels every two years. The Area Highways manager would confirm the date sent and resend if not received.

2. On Street Parking enforcement, a request for an update on timeframe for the contract to be implemented.

The Chairman advised that this was due to a legal issue which is being discussed between TDC and SCC. He is having meetings and keen to move forward as enforcement is desperately needed in the District.

3. Pendell Road, Bletchingley- it was asked if the high friction surface would help to reduce the accidents. If visibility is an issue, the land owner was willing to give the land to SCC if the legal fees were paid for.

The Area Highway Manager advised that whilst delighted of the offer however there was a number of environmental protection on the land which meant this couldn't be taken forward. This is a joint scheme with the Area Highways Team, Road Safety Team and the Police. Although not a large scheme it has been designed and safety audited. The yellow backed signs were installed in April 2019, and hoped the high friction surfacing will make a difference but it will be safety audited and next steps considered if further works required. Officers are unable to give a date of installation however the programme team are aware of the urgency. A Member advised that the summer would be a good opportunity to carry out the works as the School on Pendell Road breaks for the school summer holidays.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

- (i) NOTED the contents of the report
- (ii) AGREED to remove the closed items from the tracker

21/19 HIGHWAYS UPDATE (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION) [Item 11]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Area Highways Manager welcomed questions from the Committee.

Members Key Points:

1. Halliloo Valley Road/ Warlingham Road/ Bug Hill improvements. The Divisional Member commented that whilst the project is marked as complete, she still receives a number of emails each week with residents feeling that the sight lines turning right from Woldingham road into Halliloo Valley Road is dangerous due to the hedges. Previously the property owner had cut back the hedges which improved the visibility at the junction. The owner does not wish to have a post and rail boundary, however it seems inefficient for the Divisional Member to have to make a request to highways each time the hedges needs to be cut to improve sight lines. Could in the summer it be put on a regular cut for the safety of drivers.

The Area Highways Manager advised that there are limited powers with regards to private hedges and consideration must be taken for nesting birds in hedges during the summer months. The Area Highways Manager will investigate if the end of construction safety audit has been carried out at the site yet and will see if the sight lines at the turning can be looked at as part of the audit.

2. Wilderwick Road, Dormansland, the ditches have not been cleaned and the stream overflows into the road. Concerns raised that if this is not done before the colder weather if it freezes it will be extremely dangerous for drivers.

The Area Highways Manager advised that she would speak to the Maintenance Engineer and speak with the Divisional member outside of the meeting.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

ITEM 2

- i) NOTED the contents of the report.
- ii) AGREED the proposed changes to parking and waiting restrictions as shown on drawing 23 and 24 are approved.
- iii) AGREED the intention of the county council to make traffic regulation orders (TROs) under the relevant parts of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to impose the waiting and on street parking restrictions as described in 'parking update' are advertised and that if no objections are maintained, the order is made.
- iv) AGREED if there are unresolved objections, they will be dealt with in accordance with the county council's scheme of delegation by the parking strategy and implementation team manager, in consultation with the chairman/vice chairman of this committee and the appropriate county councillor.

Reason for decision:

The Committee agreed to the proposed parking and waiting restrictions in drawings 23 and 24 and associated Traffic Regulation Order in order to allow flow of traffic on the road.

22/19 LOCAL PROJECTS FUNDED BY COUNTY COUNCILLORS IN 2018/19 (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 12]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Sarah Woodworth, Partnership Committee Officer

Petition, Public Question, Statements: None

The Chairman introduced the report for noting, to highlight spend of County Councillors for last financial year.

Resolution:

- (i) The Local Committee (Tandridge) noted the contents of the report.

Reason:

The report highlighted the local projects and improvements that have been made possible through the use of local allocations to county councillors.

23/19 DEMENTIA FRIENDLY COMMUNITIES (AGENDA ITEM ONLY - FOR INFORMATION) [Item 13]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Lesley Thomas, Social Care Development Coordinator for Tandridge Locality.

Petition, Public Question, Statements: None

The Committee received a presentation on Dementia Friendly Communities and an update on how this project is progressing in Oxted.

Highlights from the presentation

Two thirds of those living with dementia live in the community and only half have a diagnosis. It is estimated that there is approximately 300 people living with a form of dementia in Oxted and living within the community.

The accreditation for Oxted to be made a Dementia Friendly Community will be made shortly, it is hoped that this will be introduced in other areas of Tandridge.

26 businesses and groups have already pledged their support, confirming that they will make changes to help those with dementia in the community, for example improving signage and reducing objects which may cause confusion.

An information pack is currently being developed in order to offer advice, signpost to organisations and groups who can offer support to those who have dementia or their families.

The work in Oxted has created a model which can be replicated across Surrey. It is hoped that this work can be extended across Tandridge, and the Caterham BID have come forward as would like to make Caterham a dementia friendly community.

Presentation attached to minutes, **ANNEX 1**.

Members Discussion- Key Points

1. Members agreed that it was an excellent project and welcomed the work.
2. It was asked if Parish Councils could be involved? The Social Care Development Coordinator advised that she would be very happy to talk to Parishes and support with training. Councillors are welcome to attend the next steering group meeting in October.

Mr David Lee Left the meeting at 12:30pm

24/19 LOCAL COMMITTEE COMMUNITY SAFETY FUNDING 2019/20 (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION) [Item 14]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Sarah Woodworth, Partnership Committee Officer

Petition, Public Question, Statements: None

ITEM 2

The Chairman introduced the report, the Committee are asked to agree the Committee's delegated community safety budget of £3000 be retained by the Community Safety team and the outcomes of last year's project be noted.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

- (i) AGREED the committee's delegated community safety budget of £3,000 for 2019/20 be retained by the SCC Community Safety Team, on behalf of the local committee, and that the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local organisations be invited to submit proposals for funding that meet the criteria and principles set out in section 3 of this report.
- (ii) AGREED that authority be delegated to the SCC Community Safety Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the local committee and divisional members as appropriate, to authorise the expenditure of the community safety budget in accordance with the criteria and principles stated in section 3 of this report.
- (iii) AGREED that committee receives updates on the project(s) that was funded, the outcomes and the impact it has achieved.
- (iv) NOTED the project update from the 2018/19 Community Safety Funding.

Reason for decision:

The report sets out a process for allocating the committee's delegated community safety budget of £3,000 to local organisations.

25/19 REPRESENTATION EXTERNAL BODIES (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION) [Item 15]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Sarah Woodworth, Partnership Committee Officer

Petition, Public Question, Statements: None

The Officer introduced the report, advising that this is a standing item at the start of each municipal year.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

- i) AGREED to nominate Rose Thorn to the East Surrey Community Safety Partnership, as set out in paragraphs 2.2 - 2.4.
- ii) AGREED to nominate Rose Thorn as the Surrey County Council representative to the Tandridge Health and Wellbeing Board.
- iii) NOTED that Mr Chris Botten and Mrs Rose Thorn will continue to be Local Committee representatives on the Early Help Advisory Board (EHAB) pending review of the Board

Reason for decision:

The appointment of councillors of the Local Committee to external bodies enables the committee's representation on and input to such bodies.

26/19 LOCAL COMMITTEE FORWARD PLAN (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 16]

Members of the committee were invited to suggest additional topics for consideration at future committee meetings.

This included:

- 1) Adult Social Care
- 2) Education and funding

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

- (i) NOTED and COMMENTED on the forward plan.

Meeting ended at: 12.35 pm

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank